

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,312	04/18/2005	Peter Brandt	502901-193PUS	9100
27799	7590 03/28/2006		EXAM	INER
COHEN, P	ONTANI, LIEBERMAN &	CHUNG, I	CHUNG, DAVID Y	
551 FIFTH A SUITE 1210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
0011-1111	NEW YORK, NY 10176			
			DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/519,312	BRANDT ET AL.			
· Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	David Y. Chung	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•	•			
1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a)  This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)  This  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 5-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 5-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the led drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 23 December 2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/519,312

Art Unit: 2871

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 5, 6 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brandt et al. (U.S. 6,317,178).

As to claims 5 and 9, Brandt discloses a double super twisted nematic (DSTN) liquid crystal display device, comprising one active cell and one passive cell. Note in figure 2, the active cell 12 and passive cell 13. Because the arrows indicate the path of light towards the viewer, the passive cell 13 is arranged at the front of the display, closer to the viewer. Note electrodes 4 and 5 in the active cell 12. Note the heating layers 9 and 19, which are made of indium tin oxide (ITO). See column 4, line 49 – column 5, line 46. Heating layer 19 is disposed inside passive cell 13.

As to claim 6, Brandt discloses a heating layer 19 inside passive cell 13, which is made of ITO. See column 4, lines 56-64.

Art Unit: 2871

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 7 and 8 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brandt et al. (U.S. 6,317,178).

Brandt does not disclose a flexible substrate or a metallic housing surrounding a rear and sides of the display. However, these elements were common and conventional. Flexible substrates were widely used in order to dispose the electronic circuit elements in such a way as to create a more compact display. Metal housing was widely used in order to protect the display from being damaged. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a flexible substrates and a metallic housing for the aforementioned reasons.

Art Unit: 2871

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Chung whose telephone number is (703) 306-0155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

DAILES DUDEK
PRIMARY EXAMINED